

Fiscal Estimate - 2009 Session

☒ Original
 ☐ Updated
 ☐ Corrected
 ☐ Supplemental

LRB Number 09-2534/1	Introduction Number SB-218
Description Penalties and private actions for violations of restrictions on telephone solicitations	
Fiscal Effect State: <div style="display: flex; flex-wrap: wrap;"> <div style="width: 33%;"> <input type="checkbox"/> No State Fiscal Effect <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate <div style="display: flex;"> <div style="width: 50%;"> <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Appropriations <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Appropriations <input type="checkbox"/> Create New Appropriations </div> <div style="width: 50%;"> <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues </div> </div> </div> <div style="width: 33%;"> <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs - May be possible to absorb within agency's budget <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around;"> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No </div> <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs </div> </div>	
Local: <div style="display: flex; flex-wrap: wrap;"> <div style="width: 33%;"> <input type="checkbox"/> No Local Government Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate <div style="display: flex;"> <div style="width: 50%;"> 1. <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory 2. <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory </div> <div style="width: 50%;"> 3. <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Revenue <input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory 4. <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Revenue <input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory </div> </div> </div> <div style="width: 33%;"> 5. Types of Local Government Units Affected <div style="display: flex; flex-wrap: wrap;"> <div style="width: 33%;"> <input type="checkbox"/> Towns <input type="checkbox"/> Counties <input type="checkbox"/> School Districts </div> <div style="width: 33%;"> <input type="checkbox"/> Village <input type="checkbox"/> Others <input type="checkbox"/> WTCS Districts </div> <div style="width: 33%;"> <input type="checkbox"/> Cities </div> </div> </div> </div>	
<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div> Fund Sources Affected <input type="checkbox"/> GPR <input type="checkbox"/> FED <input type="checkbox"/> PRO <input type="checkbox"/> PRS <input type="checkbox"/> SEG <input type="checkbox"/> SEGS </div> <div> Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations </div> </div>	
Agency/Prepared By DATCP/ Michelle Reinen (608) 224-5160	Authorized Signature Bill Walker (608) 224-4353
Date 6/17/2009	

Fiscal Estimate Narratives
DATCP 6/17/2009

LRB Number	09-2534/1	Introduction Number	SB-218	Estimate Type	Original
Description					
Penalties and private actions for violations of restrictions on telephone solicitations					

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

This bill increases the penalty for a violation of current provisions regulating telephone solicitations (Wisconsin's No Call Law). The penalty for a violation would increase from \$100 per violation to a forfeiture of not less than \$1,000 nor more than \$10,000 per violation.

The bill would also permit a person who suffers damages as a result of a violation to bring an action for injunctive relief and for actual damages or \$500 per violation.

The department does not believe this bill would result in an increase to department workload. However, as forfeitures increase, there will be increased revenue to those state funds that receive assessments based on the amount of a forfeiture. This amount is indeterminate as it is based on a company violating the law, the number of violations, and the amount of forfeiture paid per violation.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications